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## ORTEC



Educational timetabling competitions supported by PATAT
ITC 2002

- events, rooms, students
- enrollment-based timetabling
- students in events cannot have any overlap

ITC 2007

- examination timetabling
- post enrolment-based course timetabling
- ITC 2002 extension
- curriculum-based course timetabling
- based on real-world instances from University of Udine

ITC 2011

- high-school timetabling
- real-world instances

Real-world problems taken from the educational scheduling system UniTime


UNITIME.org

- Enrollment-based timetabling
- students enroll in courses
- Hierarchical course structure how to split course into events/classes
- students sectioning often needed
- student sectioning must respect the course structure


## ITC 2019 <br> Hierarchical course structure

|  |  |  |  | ----Preferences---- |  |  | --------Timetable------- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Limit | Date Pattern | Time Pattern | Time |  | Room | Time | Room |
| IT 200 | 100 | Software e | gineering |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture | 100 | Full Term | 3h | \# |  |  |  |  |
| Recitation | 100 | Full Term | 1h |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |
| Laboratory | 100 | Full Term | 2h |  |  | Computer |  |  |
| Lec 1 | 100 | Full Term | 3h |  | \# |  | Th 8:25a-11:00a | Y 1 |
| Rec 1 | 50 | Full Term | 1h |  |  |  | M 11:10a-11:55a | A 60 |
| Lab 1 | 25 | Full Term | 2h | \# \# |  | Computer | Th 4:40p-6:20p | D 28 |
| Lab 2 | 25 | Full Term | 2h |  |  | Computer | Th 2:50p-4:30p | D 28 |
| Rec 2 | 50 | Full Term | 1h |  |  |  | W 1:55p-2:40p | A 60 |
| Lab 3 | 25 | Full Term | 2h |  |  | Computer | Th 1:00p-2:40p | D 28 |
| Lab 4 | 25 | Full Term | 2h |  |  | Computer | Th 11:10a-12:50p | D 28 |
| Required |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { rongly } \\ \text { d } & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}  \\ \text { red } & \text { Neut } \end{array}$ |  | $\square$ <br> Discour | Disc | trongly raged |  |

## IC 2019

- Time placement for classes
- week pattern: required weeks
- full term: weeks="11111111111111"
- day pattern: required days of week
- Monday: days="1000000"
- start time period and length using 5 minutes periods
- 7:00-8:00: start="84" length="12"
- each possible placement specified with penalties

| $\square$ | $\square$ Strongly | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Required | $\square$ Preferred | $\square$ | Strongly$\quad$$\square$ <br> Prohibited |  |  |

- course $\rightarrow$ classes $\rightarrow$ meetings
- MW 7:30-8:20 even weeks
days="1010000"
start="90" length="10"
weeks="0101010101010"

Room placement

- Rooms
- capacity
- unavailable periods
- travel times matrix
- students must be able to attend their classes when they are at different locations
- Room placement for classes
- each possible placement specified with penalties


## $\underset{\text { IIC }}{2019}$ Distribution constraints on set of classes

| Constraint | Opposite | Time |  |  | Days | Weeks |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Room | Pairs |  |  |  |  |  |
| SameStart |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | - | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| SameTime | DifferentTime | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | - | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| SameDays | DifferentDays | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| SameWeeks | DifferentWeeks | - | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| SameRoom | DifferentRoom | - | - | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Overlap | NotOverlap | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| SameAttendees |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Precedence |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| WorkDay(S) |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| MinGap(G) |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| MaxDays(D) |  | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | - | days over D |
| MaxDayLoad(S) |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | slots over S |
| MaxBreaks(R,S) | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | breaks over R |  |
| MaxBlock(M,S) |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | blocks over M |

## Course structure \& generated distr. constraints

|  |  |  |  | ----Preferences--- |  | -------Timetable------- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Limit | Date Pattern | Time Pattern | Time | Room | Time | Room |
| IT 200 | 100 | Software e | gineering |  |  |  |  |
| Lecture | 100 | Full Term | 3h |  |  |  |  |
| Recitation | 100 | Full Term | 1h |  |  |  |  |
| Laboratory | 100 | Full Term | 2 h | \# | Computer |  |  |
| Lec 1 | 100 | Full Term | 3h |  |  | Th 8:25a-11:00a | Y 1 |
| Rec 1 | 50 | Full Term | 1h |  |  | M 11:10a-11:55a | A 60 |
| Lab 1 | 25 | Full Term | 2h | \# | Computer | Th 4:40p-6:20p | D 28 |
| Lab 2 | 25 | Full Term | 2 h | 业 | Computer | Th 2:50p-4:30p | D 28 |
| $\operatorname{Rec} 2$ | 50 | Full Term | 1 h | \# |  | W 1:55p-2:40p | A 60 |
| Lab 3 | 25 | Full Term | 2h |  | Computer | Th 1:00p-2:40p | D 28 |
| Lab 4 | 25 | Full Term | 2 h | \# | Computer | Th 11:10a-12:50p | D 28 |

For classes in parent-child relationship: SameAttendees

- some institutions may replace it by NotOverlap

For classes in the same subpart: NotOverlap

- some institutions may remove it
- Assignment of times and rooms to classes
- Optimization by minimizing penalties of
- time placement
- room placement
- violated soft distribution constraints
- student conflicts
- two classes overlap in time or
- are close to each other in rooms that are too far apart
- Data from the UniTime educational scheduling system
- Europe
- Masaryk University
- AGH University of Science and Technology
- North and South America
- Purdue University
- Maryville University
- Universidad Yachay Tech 를
- Asia
- Lahore University of Management Sciences $\mathcal{C}$
- İstanbul Kültür University C
- Turkish-German University C.
- Bethlehem University $\boldsymbol{Z}$
- Africa
- University of Nairobi
- classical middle-size problem
- 35 rooms
- 500-600 classes
- 1,500-1,700 students (more in fall semesters)
- 10 classes per student
- simple course structure
- lecture, seminars, 1 lecture + seminars
- classes once a week two hours typically
- one building mostly
- even/odd weeks classes
- pre-enrollment $\Rightarrow$ rather high conflicts (550-680)
- single timetable manager


## Faculty of Sport Studies: present study

- 40 rooms, 550 classes, 850 students, 12 classes per student
- solved optimally
- many buildings: travel times
small utilization - partially used sport facilities
- simple course structure
- lecture, seminars, 1 lecture + seminars
- enrollments constructed from rigid curricula
- 0-5 conflicts
- high number of weeks: 19
- timetabling of sports for whole university during examination period as well
- however: students from the Faculty of Sport Studies only
- single timetable manager
- irregular timetable each Friday
- one course split to single day classes using DifferentWeeks
- 14 weeks
- 30 rooms, 650 classes, 400 students, 33 classes per student
- gap $48 \%$

Faculty of Sport Studies: distance learning + present study

- 21 weeks muni-fspsx-fal17
- 30 rooms, 1,600 classes, 1,150 students, 22 classes per student
- gap 26 \%

Faculty of Education: present study

- 80 rooms, 1,500 classes, 3,450 students
- pairs of curricula for each student
- Math-Physics, English-History, Physics-Music, ...
- resulting in more student conflicts than "classical" curricula but less than pre-enrollments
- data input by several departmental managers
- more diversified input
timetabling by single timetable manager
- non-binarized distribution constraints MaxDayLoad, MaxBlock


## ITc 2019 Europe: Masaryk University, Czech Republic

More complex and larger problems
Faculty of Education: distance learning muni-pdf-spr16c

- irregular timetable each Friday and Saturday
- one course split to single day classes using DifferentWeeks
- 13 weeks: different timetable for each or $2 * 13$ days for 13 weeks
- 70 rooms, 2,500 classes, 2,900 students

140 minutes per meeting (present study: 85)

- partially included classes from present study
- many courses have two configurations: distance learning, present study
- distance learning solved on top of the timetable from present study
- classes from present study fixed (1,100 out of 2,500 classes)

Faculty of Education: distance learning + present study muni-pdfx-fall17

- 90 rooms, 3,700 classes, 5,650 students 130 minutes per meeting
- Separate timetable for each faculty agh problems
- 40-80 rooms, 450-1,850 classes, 1,600-2,250 students
- shared resources between faculties, students from different faculties Faculty of Humanities: $73 \%$ of classes for outside students
- Large-scale problem for the whole university included
- 330 rooms, 5,100 classes, 7,000 students
- Rigid curricula with mandatory and elective courses only


## - <br> ITC 2019 <br> USA: Purdue University

- Coordinated timetabling process
pu problems
- shared large lecture room timetabling pu-Ilr-spr17
- 75 rooms, 1,000 classes, 27,000 students, 3 classes per student very high utilization
- solved optimally
- school and departmental timetabling
- shared computer laboratories
- changes: complete problem
- Problems from several ( $5 / 9$ ) and all departments: huge problems
- 80/220/770 rooms, 1,050/2,800/8,800 classes 13,500/35,000/38,500 stud.
- Rich course structure
- introductory Biology for most freshmen
- Class several times a week at the same time and room
- Monday, Wednesday, Friday at 7:30 am, 8:30 am, ... 4:30 pm
- Last-like semester enrollments
- Buildings at campus: travel times

Students by distribution constraints SameAttendees/NoOverlap

- SameAttendees takes care of travel times

Turkish-German University, Turkey

- small problems: 15 rooms, 700 classes
- visiting lecturers from Germany coming for a short time
- solved optimally
- 72,000-79,000 hard class pairs

İstanbul Kültür University, Turkey

- 210 rooms, 2,600-2,800 classes

Lahore University of Management Sciences, Pakistan

- 70 rooms, 500-1,100 classes
- multiple days for class: 1.8 per class

Asia: Bethlehem University, Palestine bet problems

- 60 rooms, 1,000-1,100 classes, 2,900-3,000 students
- multiple days for classes: 1.3 days per class
- high utilization
- non-binarized distribution constraints MaxBlock, MaxDays

North America: Maryville University, USA
mary problems

- about 900 classes, 90 rooms, 3,500-5,000 students, 1.5 days per class
- simple course structure: lecture, seminars, 1 lecture + seminars

South America: Universidad Yachay Tech, Ecuador

- 400 classes, 30 rooms, 800 students

Africa: University of Nairobi, Kenya
nbi-spr18

- 800 classes, 70 rooms, 2,300 students


## ITC 2019 <br> Competition results

| Position | Team | Early | Middle | Late | Total points |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. | Holm et al. | 99 | 150 | 240 | 489 |
| 2. | Rappos et al. | 72 | 94 | 156 | $\mathbf{3 2 2}$ |
| 3. | Gashi et al. | 41 | 85 | 147 | $\mathbf{2 7 3}$ |
| 4. | Er-rhaimini | 36 | 71 | 145 | $\mathbf{2 5 2}$ |
| 5. | Lemos et al. | 17 | 32 | 30 | $\mathbf{7 9}$ |

## ITC 2019 <br> Current results

| Position | Author | Early | Middle | Late | Total points |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. | Dennis Holm | 96 | 130 | 236 | 462 |
| 2. | Tomáš Müller | 63 | 119 | 186 | 368 |
| 3. | Efstratios Rappos | 57 | 60 | 123 | 240 |
| 4. | Edon Gashi | 19 | 53 | 98 | 170 |
| 5. | Karim Er-rhaimini | 16 | 41 | 92 | 149 |
| 6. | Alexandre Lemos | 10 | 27 | 99 | 136 |
| 7. | I Gusti Agung Premananda | 3 | 27 | 62 | 92 |
| 8. | Jason C.H. | 2 | 10 | 40 | 52 |
| 9. | Marlúcio Alves Pires | 6 | 15 | 22 | 43 |
| 10. | Henrik Sejer Pedersen | 10 | 10 | 23 | 43 |
| 11. | Georgia loanna Makraki | 0 | 3 | 10 | 13 |
| 12. | Eduardo Flores | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 13. | Jerry Wang | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 14. | Matthew Davison | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Current status

- Almost 400 registered users from 60 countries
- 16 users uploaded one or more solutions of competition instances
- 25 users uploaded one or more solutions of competition or sample instances
- 44 users successfully validated one or more solutions
- MIP, matheuristic: Dennis S. Holm, Rasmus $\emptyset$. Mikkelsen, Matias Sørensen, Thomas R. Stidsen
- MaCom / Technical University of Denmark, Denmark
- MIP, matheuristic: Efstratios Rappos, Eric Thiémard, Stephan Robert, Jean-François Hêche
- HEIG-VD, Switzerland
- Simulated annealing: Edon Gashi, Kadri Sylejmani
- University of Prishtina, Kosovo
- MaxSAT: Alexandre Lemos, Pedro T Monteiro, Inês Lynce
- INESC-ID / IST, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal
- UniTime: Tomáš Müller
- Purdue University, USA


Conference on the Practice and Theory of Automated Timetabling (PATAT)

ORTEC: optimization software and analytics solutions

aapereo
$=$ UNITIME.org

UniTime educational scheduling system

Faculty of Informatics, Masaryk University

